Interview Summary	Application No	<b>).</b>	Applicant(s)	
	09/674,768	NEUHAUS ET AL.		AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis		1638	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) Russell Kallis.	(3) <u>Leonard S</u>	Svensson.		
(2) Karpala Reddy.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>09 December 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) applicant's	representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: none.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ⟨	g)⊠ was not read	ched. h)∐ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	l nature of what v	vas agreed to	if an agreemer	nt was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amend	examiner agr dments that w	eed would rend ould render the	der the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT C Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action THE MAILING D OF THE SUBSTAL	n has already DATE OF THIS NCE OF THE	been filed, APf SINTERVIEW	PLICANT IS SUMMARY
				·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exa	aminer's signa	ture, if required	L L